## A lower-bound on monopoly profit for log-concave demand

Daniele Condorelli<sup>\*</sup>

November 16, 2021

## Abstract

If demand is log-concave a monopolist obtains at least 1/e of the area under the demand. KEYWORDS: Monopoly, Log-concavity, 1/e — JEL: D42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Department of Economics, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK. E-mail: d.condorelli@gmail.com. I thank Balazs Szentes for useful comments on the relation between this result and our joint work.

There's a scattered literature, with contributions from both economists and computer scientists, that seeks to identify bounds to endogenous outcomes for relevant market models, assuming the analyst has only partial knowledge of the exogenous characteristics of those markets. For the case of a non-discriminating monopolist, and focusing on bounded demand functions, Neeman (2003), Brooks (2013) and Kremer and Snyder (2018) obtain a lower-bound on profit, while Condorelli and Szentes (2020) identify an upper bound to consumer surplus.<sup>1</sup>

In this note, it is shown that if the demand function is log-concave, then a zero marginalcost monopolist who sets a uniform price will attain at least a fraction 1/e of the available gains from trade (i.e., the area under the demand curve). The class of log-concave distributions deserves attention because of its prominence in applied work. In the mechanism design literature, log-concave CDFs exhibit the useful increasing hazard rate property and guarantee that the monopolist's objective is well behaved. We refer to the classic Bagnoli and Bergstrom (2005) for more on log-concave functions.

We normalize the mass of consumers to one. Then, a *demand* function  $D: \Re^+ \to [0, 1]$ is a non-increasing and left-continuous function mapping non-negative real numbers into the unit interval. Demand D is said to be *log-concave* if the function  $\ln(D)$  is concave. Let

$$S(D) = \int_0^\infty D(x) dx$$

and

$$\Pi(D) = \sup_{p \in \Re^+} D(p)p$$

denote, respectively, the area under the demand curve (i.e., the first-best surplus available when all buyers buy) and the profit of the monopolist. Our result can now be stated.

**Proposition 1** If demand D is log-concave, then 
$$\frac{\Pi(D)}{S(D)} \ge \frac{1}{e}$$
.

The proof follows straightforwardly from the definition of  $\Pi$  and Lemma 5.4 in Lovsz and Vempala (2007).

**Proof.** Lemma 5.4 in Lovsz and Vempala (2007) states that for any log-concave distribution of a real-valued random-variable X, then

$$\Pr\{X \ge \mathbb{E}[X]\} \ge \frac{1}{e}.$$

Noting that if D is log-concave, then  $1 - \lim_{x^0 \to x^-} D(x^0)$  is a log-concave CDF and using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_0^\infty 1 - F(x) dx$  when r.v. X is non-negative valued and has CDF equal to F, we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Condorelli and Szentes (2021) generalize the bounds above to Cournot competition, additionally characterizing all triples of producer surplus, consumer surplus and dead-weight loss that could arise. Other bounds in environments with Cournot monopoly and competition are obtained, for various different measures, in Anderson and Renault (2003), Johari and Tsitsiklis (2005), Tsitsiklis and Xu (2014) and Tsitsiklis and Xu (2013). As an example where the unknown is not the demand function, a full payoff characterization is offered by Bergemann et al. (2015) for a price-discriminating monopolist for a given demand function, assuming the analyst has no knowledge of the information available to said monopolist.

conclude that

$$D(S(X)) \ge \frac{1}{e}.$$
(1)

The definition of  $\Pi$  implies that for all  $x \in \Re^+$ 

$$\frac{\Pi(D)}{x} \ge D(x).^2$$

When evaluated at x = S(D), the above inequality gives

$$\frac{\Pi(D)}{S(D)} \ge D(S(D)). \tag{2}$$

Combining (1) and (2) concludes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

As discussed, an alternative lower-bound on monopoly profit is obtained in the papers mentioned in the first paragraph (for an explicit formula see for instance Condorelli and Szentes (2021)). Letting u be the maximum consumer valuation, it is shown that

$$\Pi(D) \ge \frac{S(D)}{-W_{-1}(\frac{-S(D)}{u \times e})} \equiv \pi_S(D)$$

where  $W_{-1}$  is the lower branch of the Lambert W function.<sup>3</sup> The bound presented in this note is not vacuous. First, since  $\pi_S(D) \to 0$  if  $u \to \infty$ , then, without knowledge of the maximal valuation,  $\pi_S(D)$  provides no information.<sup>4</sup> Second, even if one is ready to make assumptions on u, the bound obtained in this note will still be above  $\pi_S(D)$  for demand functions such that S(D) is sufficiently small. For instance, assuming u = 1,  $\frac{S(D)}{e}$  is strictly below  $\pi_S(D)$  for  $0 < S(D) < e^{2-e} \sim 0.487.$ 

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Interestingly, this observation implies an improvement on the Markov inequality, since for a non-negative r.v. X with CDF F it implies  $\Pr\{X \ge x\} \le \prod(D^*(F))/x \le \mathbb{E}[X]/x$ , with  $D^*(F)(x) = 1 - \lim_{x^0 \to x^-} F(x^0)$ . <sup>3</sup>While it cannot be expressed in terms of elementary functions it is defined by  $W_{-1}(xe^x) = x$  for  $x \le -1$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In Condorelli and Szentes (2021) the bound is tight and is achieved by a truncated Pareto distribution, which of course is not log-concave.

## References

- Anderson, Simon P. and Rgis Renault, "Efficiency and surplus bounds in Cournot competition," *Journal of Economic Theory*, 2003, 113 (2), 253–264.
- Bagnoli, Mark and Ted Bergstrom, "Log-Concave Probability and Its Applications," Economic Theory, 2005, 26 (2), 445–469.
- Bergemann, Dirk, Benjamin Brooks, and Stephen Morris, "The Limits of Price Discrimination," American Economic Review, March 2015, 105 (3), 921–57.
- **Brooks, Benjamin**, "Surveying and selling: Belief and surplus extraction in auctions," *Working paper*, 2013.
- **Condorelli, Daniele and Balazs Szentes**, "Surplus Sharing in Cournot Oligopoly," Working Paper, University of Warwick 2021.
- and Balzs Szentes, "Information Design in the Holdup Problem," Journal of Political Economy, 2020, 128 (2), 681–709.
- Johari, Ramesh and John N. Tsitsiklis, "Efficiency Loss in Cournot Games," Technical Report, MIT Lab. Inf. Decision Syst. 2639 2005.
- Kremer, Michael and Christopher Snyder, "Worst-Case Bounds on R&D and Pricing Distortions: Theory with an Application Assuming Consumer Values Follow the World Income Distribution," Technical Report w25119, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA October 2018.
- Lovsz, Lszl and Santosh Vempala, "The geometry of logconcave functions and sampling algorithms," *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 2007, 30 (3), 307–358.
- Neeman, Zvika, "The Effectiveness of English Auctions," Games and Economic Behavior, May 2003, 43 (2), 214–238.
- Tsitsiklis, John N. and Yunjian Xu, "Profit Loss in Cournot Oligopolies," Operations Research Letters, July 2013, 41 (4), 415–420.
- and \_, "Efficiency Loss in a Cournot Oligopoly with Convex Market Demand," Special Section: Economic Theory of Bubbles (I), August 2014, 53, 46–58.